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Introduction

reCAPTCHA and other CAPTCHA service providers validate millions of CAPTCHAs each day and protect thousands of websites against the bots. A secure CAPTCHA generation and validation ecosystem forms the basis of the mutual trust model between the CAPTCHA provider and the consumer. A variety of damage can occur if any component of this ecosystem is compromised.

This whitepaper will introduce a new tool and explain vulnerabilities identified as a result of researching several CAPTCHA providers’ validation libraries. The identified vulnerabilities can allow attackers to circumvent the CAPTCHA protection.

Inside a CAPTCHA Provider Integration

CAPTCHA providers generally offer both CAPTCHA generation and validation services. To consume these services, the subscribing websites either use the existing libraries and plugins; or write their own. A typical user interaction with a web application that relies on a CAPTCHA provider is summarized below:

1. A user requests a page that requires CAPTCHA validation.
2. The returned page contains an embedded `<img>` (or `<script>`) tag to retrieve the CAPTCHA image from the CAPTCHA provider.
3. Upon parsing the embedded tags, the browser retrieves a CAPTCHA from the CAPTCHA provider and displays it to the user.
4. The user fills in the form fields, enters the CAPTCHA solution and submits the page to the web application.
5. The web application then submits the CAPTCHA solution to the CAPTCHA provider for verification.
6. The CAPTCHA provider responds to the web application with success or failure message.
7. Based on CAPTCHA provider’s response, the web application allows or denies the request.

---

1 [http://www.google.com/recaptcha](http://www.google.com/recaptcha)
2 [http://www.google.com/recaptcha/faq](http://www.google.com/recaptcha/faq)
Steps 5 and 6 play a crucial role in the CAPTCHA validation scheme and must be securely implemented to prevent attacks against CAPTCHA validation process.

**Attack Scenarios**

Analysis of the CAPTCHA integration libraries provided by several CAPTCHA providers (including reCAPTCHA) revealed that almost all of the CAPTCHA verification API’s relied on plain text HTTP protocol to perform CAPTCHA validation. Because of this, the CAPTCHA provider’s identity was not validated, message authentication checks were not performed and the entire CAPTCHA validation was performed on an unencrypted channel. The two images below show reCAPTCHA’s .Net and Rails plug-ins responsible for verifying CAPTCHA solutions.
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Figure 2: Image shows reCAPTCHA verification URL from the .NET plugin (decompiled)

```csharp
using System;
using System.Diagnostics;
using System.IO;
using System.Net;
using System.Net.Sockets;
using System.Text;
using System.Web;
namespace Recaptcha
{
    public class RecaptchaValidator
    {
        private const string VerifyUrl = "http://www.google.com/recaptcha/api/verify";
        private string privateKey;
        private string remoteIp;
        private string challenge;
        private string response;
        private IWebProxy proxy;
        public string PrivateKey
    }
}
```

Figure 3: Image highlights reCAPTCHA rails plugin operating over plain text HTTP protocol

---

4 [https://github.com/ambethia/recaptcha/](https://github.com/ambethia/recaptcha/)
In the current scenario, two types of attacks can be launched against the vulnerable CAPTCHA implementations.

**Private Key Compromise**
Most of CAPTCHA providers issue private and public keys to identify a particular consumer and to enforce an upper limit on the number of CAPTCHAs used by them. Private keys are often sent over to the CAPTCHA provider during the CAPTCHA validation process. If the public and private keys are sent using plain text HTTP, an attacker could:

1. Use the CAPTCHA service for free by using the keys to imitate the target web site
2. Exhaust the target web site’s CAPTCHA quota for the service, which depending on the CAPTCHA provider may cause a wide variety of unexpected issues

**The CAPTCHA Clipping Attack**
Since the website’s application server acts as a client to CAPTCHA provider during steps 5 and 6 (in Figure 1), and the application server often neglects to validate the CAPTCHA provider’s identity and the session integrity checks, an attacker may be able to impersonate the CAPTCHA provider and undermine the anti-automation protection.

CAPTCHA validation responses are mostly Boolean (true or false, success or failure, pass or fail, 0 or 1). The response format and its content are also publicly available as part of CAPTCHA provider’s API documentation. This allows an attacker to easily construct the finite set of possible responses, impersonate the CAPTCHA provider, and perform malicious CAPTCHA validation for the application servers.

To exploit this vulnerability an attacker performs the following:

1. The attacker acts as a legitimate application user and submits a large number of requests to the web application.
2. At the same time, he/she intercepts CAPTCHA validation requests, masquerades as the CAPTCHA provider and approves all submitted requests.

Masquerading as the CAPTCHA provider and not forwarding the CAPTCHA validation requests to the actual CAPTCHA provider is the CAPTCHA Clipping Attack.
Introducing clipcaptcha

clipcaptcha is a proof of concept exploitation tool that specifically targets the vulnerabilities discussed above and allows complete bypass of CAPTCHA provider protection. To download see http://www.mcafee.com/us/downloads/free-tools/index.aspx. clipcaptcha is built on sslstrip\(^5\) codebase and has the following features:

1. It performs signature based CAPTCHA provider detection and clipping.
2. It can be easily extended to masquerade as any CAPTCHA provider by adding corresponding signatures.
3. It has built-in signatures of several CAPTCHA providers including reCAPTCHA, OpenCAPTCHA, Captchator etc...

\(^5\) http://www.thoughtcrime.org/software/sslstrip/
4. It logs GET and POST requests that match any supported CAPTCHA provider to capture private and public keys. Unmatched requests are forwarded as is.

5. clipcaptcha supports five operational modes. These are “monitor”, “stealth”, “avalanche”, “denial of service” and “random”.

```
python clipcaptcha.py -h
>>> clipcaptcha 0.1 by Gurmeet Singh Kalra
Usage: clipcaptcha <mode> <options>
Modes (choose one):
  -m --monitor
  -a --avalanche
  -s --secret
  -d --dos
  -r --random

Options:
  -c <filename>, --config=<filename>
  -p <port>, --port=<port>
  -f <filename>, --file=<filename>
  -l, --list
  -h, --help

clipcaptcha Config file with CAPTCHA provider signatures optional
Port to listen on (default 7777)
Specify file to log to (default clipcaptcha.log)
List CAPTCHA providers available
Print this help message.
```

Figure 5: Image shows clipcaptcha help

```
python clipcaptcha.py -a clipcaptcha
[+] Available CAPTCHA Providers =>
  0: reCAPTCHA
  1: OpenCAPTCHA
  2: Captchater

[?] Choose CAPTCHA Providers by typing space separated indexes below or press enter to clip all :
[+] Good, i am clipping these CAPTCHA providers => reCAPTCHA, OpenCAPTCHA, Captchater
[+] Running in Stealth mode
```

Figure 6: Image shows a sample clipcaptcha run

**clipcaptcha Operational Modes**

clipcaptcha can be run in any one of its operational modes and they are explained below:

1. **Monitor Mode:** Signature based CAPTCHA provider detection is performed and all CAPTCHA validation requests are logged to a local file. The CAPTCHA validation requests and corresponding responses are allowed to complete without any modifications.

2. **Avalanche Mode:** “Success” response is returned on the matching CAPTCHA provider for all validation requests. It is recommended to not run clipcaptcha in this mode as a surge in successful account creation or registrations may be detected.

3. **Stealth Mode:** Stealth is the *recommended* mode for running clipcaptcha. This mode relies on the fact that all CAPTCHA validation API's need to send user supplied “CAPTCHA solution” to the CAPTCHA providers for validation. clipcaptcha banks on this behavior to operate stealthily and return “Success” status only for the requests that contain a secret string. In its current implementation, clipcaptcha parses the entire CAPTCHA validation request (initial line, headers and body) and returns success if the secret string is found or allows the request to complete without any modifications.
4. **DoS Mode:** “Failure” response is returned for all CAPTCHA validation requests. This leads to a Denial of Service condition on the target web application for all forms that require CAPTCHA validation.

5. **Random Mode:** Random “Success” and “Failure” responses are returned as per the matching CAPTCHA provider for all validation requests and exits only as a teaser mode.

Selecting more than one operation mode is an error.

**Detecting a CAPTCHA Provider**

For each request received, clipcaptcha tries to identify if request is a CAPTCHA validation request. It achieves this by making the following comparisons:

1. The host header value should match one of the CAPTCHA provider’s hostname.
2. The URL path should also match the CAPTCHA provider’s validation path.

The request is flagged as a CAPTCHA validation request if both the above conditions are met, else it is forwarded without any modifications. The table below shows CAPTCHA provider request formats for reCAPTCHA and OpenCAPTCHA extracted from their documentation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAPTCHA Provider =&gt;</th>
<th>reCAPTCHA</th>
<th>OpenCAPTCHA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Validating Host</td>
<td><a href="http://www.google.com">www.google.com</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.opencaptcha.com">www.opencaptcha.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAPTCHA Validation Request</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation Path</td>
<td>/recaptcha/api/verify</td>
<td>/validate.php</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Query String</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>ans=&lt;CAPTCHA Solution&gt;&amp;img=&lt;CAPTCHA Identifier&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request Headers</td>
<td>None mandated</td>
<td>None mandated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POST Contents</td>
<td>privatekey=&lt;privateKey&gt;&amp;remoteip=&lt;remoteIP&gt;&amp;challenge=&lt;CAPTCHA Identifier&gt;&amp;response=&lt;CAPTCHA Solution&gt;</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Responding as a CAPTCHA Provider**

Once a CAPTCHA validation request and the corresponding CAPTCHA provider are identified, clipcaptcha responds to the request as per its operation mode. clipcaptcha constructs a response by choosing from a finite set of possible responses for the CAPTCHA provider and sends it back to the web application initiating the validation request. The table below shows CAPTCHA provider response formats for reCAPTCHA and OpenCAPTCHA extracted.
Table 2: Example CAPTCHA Provider Response Formats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAPTCHA Provider =&gt;</th>
<th>reCAPTCHA</th>
<th>OpenCAPTCHA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Validating Host</td>
<td><a href="http://www.google.com">www.google.com</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.opencaptcha.com">www.opencaptcha.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAPTCHA Validation Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Success Status Line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success Response Headers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure Status Line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure Response Headers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Obtaining Private and Public Keys

Every request for which a CAPTCHA provider match is found, clipcaptcha logs the request for all operational mode. These logs contain the private and public keys for a website and can be used to impersonate the target website’s CAPTCHA implementation.

Signature Based Request Detection and Response

All CAPTCHA providers are basically HTTP based custom web services. These services accept CAPTCHA validation requests in a particular format and respond with finite set of responses that allow the clients to make Boolean choices to allow or disallow the request. clipcaptcha takes advantage of this finite and predictable request and response data set to implement signature based request detection and response system. Figure 5 below shows the configuration file (template) for clipcaptcha.
The configuration file format is explained below:

1. “clipcaptcha” is the root element of the configuration XML file with several “provider” child elements.
2. Minimum of one provider element must be present.
3. Each provider element must have one occurrence of the following elements:
   a. **name**: The name element indicates the name to uniquely identify the CAPTCHA provider.
   b. **hostname**: The HTTP host header for the CAPTCHA provider.
   c. **path**: The CAPTCHA provider path to which the validation request will be sent. clipcaptcha uses hostname and path to uniquely identify providers.
   d. **success**: This contains success message for CAPTCHA verification.
   e. **failure**: This contains failure message for CAPTCHA verification.
4. clipcaptcha uses success and failure elements to construct responses for CAPTCHA validation requests. A response is created from the XML as follows:

```
HTTP/1.1 <rcode> <rcodeestr>

<rheaders><header><name>: <rheaders><header><name>: <rheaders><header><name>: <rheaders><header><name>: <rheaders><header><name>: <rheaders><header><name>: <rheaders><header><name>: <rheaders><header><name>: <rheaders><header><name>: <rheaders><header><name>: <rheaders><header><name>: <rheaders><header><name>: <rheaders><header><name>: <rheaders><header><name>: 

<rbody>
```

```
<xml version='1.0'/>
<clipcaptcha>
  <provider>
    <name>reCAPTCHA</name>
    <hostname>www.google.com</hostname>
    <path>/recaptcha/api/verify</path>
    <success>
      <rcode>200</rcode>
      <rcodeestr>OK</rcodeestr>
      <rheaders><header><name>: <rheaders><header><name>: 

    </success>
    <failure>
      <rcode>200</rcode>
      <rcodeestr>OK</rcodeestr>
      <rheaders><header><name>: <rheaders><header><name>: 

  </provider>
  <provider>
    <name>OpenCAPTCHA</name>
    <hostname>www.opencaptcha.com</hostname>
    <path>/validate.php</path>
    <success>
      <rcode>200</rcode>
      <rcodeestr>OK</rcodeestr>
      <rbody>pass</rbody>
    </success>
    <failure>
      <rcode>200</rcode>
      <rcodeestr>OK</rcodeestr>
      <rbody>fail</rbody>
    </failure>
  </provider>
</clipcaptcha>
```

Figure 8: Image shows clipcaptcha's configuration file with two CAPTCHA provider signatures
**Using clipcaptcha**

We will use a condensed version of reCAPTCHA verification plugin (for Ruby on rails) on an interactive Ruby shell for demonstration. As per reCAPTCHA verification procedure, the demo code shown below must always return `invalid-request-cookie` error because the `challenge` parameter contains an invalid value. The challenge parameter typically contains the unique CAPTCHA identifier issued when CAPTCHA retrieval request is sent to the reCAPTCHA website:

```ruby
require 'net/http'
RECAPTCHA_VERIFY_URL = 'http://www.google.com/recaptcha/api/verify'
PRIVATE_KEY = "6LfPMXcXXeSgQfl3c-l-2t6D-eGzGvLl0BBxhE4Xl'
def recaptcha_verify(solution)
  Timeout::timeout(3) do
    http = Net::HTTP
    recaptcha = http.post_form(URI.parse(RECAPTCHA_VERIFY_URL), {
      "privatekey" => PRIVATE_KEY,
      "remoteip" => "10.10.10.10",
      "challenge" => "clipcaptcha_challenge",
      "response" => solution
    })
    answer, error = recaptcha.body.split.map { |s| s.chomp }
    return answer, error
  end
end
```

*Figure 9: Image shows example code that must always returns an error message*

```ruby
irb(main):001:0> load 'clipDemo.rb'
=> true
irb(main):002:0> recaptcha_verify('gursev')
=> ["false", "invalid-request-cookie"]
irb(main):003:0> puts recaptcha_verify('gursev')
false
invalid-request-cookie
```

*Figure 10: Image shows the error message returned for reCAPTCHA validation requests*

**Sample Impersonation**

The steps below show how to run clipcaptcha as CAPTCHA provider:

1. Enable forwarding mode on your machine (echo "1" > /proc/sys/net/ipv4/ip_forward)
2. Setup iptables to redirect HTTP traffic to clipcaptcha. (iptables -t nat -A PREROUTING -p tcp --destination-port 80 -j REDIRECT --to-port <listeningPort>)
3. Run arpspoof to redirect the traffic to your machine. (arpspoof -i <interface> -t <targetIP> <gatewayIP>)
4. Run clipcaptcha in one of its mode of operation. (`clipcaptcha.py <mode> -l <listeningPort>`) Once clipcaptcha instance starts running, all CAPTCHA validation requests will be administered by clipcaptcha.

Mitigation

CAPTCHA providers should support SSL for CAPTCHA validation, update their plug-ins and libraries to support SSL. Further, application developers should enforce the use of SSL and server certificate validation for all CAPTCHA validation requests.

Sample code to verify SSL certificate in Ruby is provided in the table below. The rootcerts.pem file referenced in the code was downloaded from curl\textsuperscript{6} project website.

```ruby
require 'rubygems'
require 'net/http'
q = Net::HTTP.new('mail.google.com',443,'localhost',8888)
q.use_ssl = true
q.ca_file = "rootcerts.pem"
begin
  q.get("/")
rescue
  puts "Invalid Digital Certificate"
end
```

\textsuperscript{6} \url{http://curl.haxx.se/ca/cacert.pem}
Conclusion

CAPTCHA providers allow websites to integrate anti-automation mechanisms by offering CAPTCHA generation and verification services along with the libraries to consume those services. Insecurely written libraries give a false sense of security and can be exploited. Web application developers are advised to perform security reviews of the third party libraries before deploying them in their applications.
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