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1 Introduction

During those years that I have been working in IT security now I have repeatedly used vulnerability scanners like Nessus, OpenVAS, Nikto and Nmap. These scanners are real power tools for me, but they are nowhere near perfect. Every scan results in a “report”, in one form or the other, with a large or smaller number of findings. Each of these findings has to be investigated in order to determine if the finding is a real issue or not or even a false positive. These investigations take time and effort. Because of the involved time and effort, vulnerability scans are often only conducted on an ad-hoc basis, e.g. before go-life of an infrastructure or after a major change. However the dynamics of IT, where “constant change” is the only real constant, made me want to regularly perform vulnerability scans, while obviously spending as little time and effort on analysis as possible. This challenge has pushed me to write Seccubus, a tool to schedule vulnerability scans and process their results more easily.

1.1 What is a vulnerability scanner?

In the introduction of this paper I regularly used the term vulnerability scanner, but what is a vulnerability scanner? A vulnerability scanner is a software program that aims to find vulnerabilities in software or infrastructure, often by simulating an attack. Nessus and OpenVAS are well known vulnerability scanners, these two programs are aimed at finding vulnerabilities in infrastructures over the network. Nessus and OpenVAS use a five step approach to finding vulnerabilities:

1. Determine if an IP address is active on the network. This is done by using technologies such as ping, arp scan, or a simple port scan.
2. Try to determine which services are offered by the IP address and which operating system is used.
3. Determine if known vulnerabilities are present on the system. Technologies to determine the presence of vulnerabilities range from comparing the version number of the daemon to a list of known vulnerable version, but also by performing step 4
4. Simulate or actually abuse the vulnerability to determine its existence
5. Report the findings.

Unfortunately these scanners can only find known vulnerabilities. Known means vulnerabilities which have been programmed into the tool. A good penetration tester will, aided by his/her human creativity be able to find vulnerabilities for which automated test do not, yet, exist. These scanners can also impact the availability of the tested infrastructure. No vendor can provide a 100% guarantee that the test subject will not be adversely affected by the test.

1.2 Why scan?

The potential impact of a vulnerability scan is still used as an argument to not scan at all, or to only have these test performed by a security testing company. While I understand these concerns I can really recommend companies and IT departments to perform their own scanning, for two reasons. A; the risk is not that high, B; anybody can scan you.

There are plenty of freely available vulnerability scanners and penetration testing tools: Nessus, OpenVAS, NMAP, Nikto, Metasploit and others can be freely downloaded and thus used by just about anyone. And, even though it’s illegal to use these tools on an infrastructure without the owner’s
permission, anybody who has ever seen a firewall log knows that just about anything connected to internet is scanned regularly. You could therefore argue that the information obtained from a scan is publicly available and since the information is “on the street” you might as well get your own copy.

1.3 Is scanning dangerous?

When you perform a vulnerability scan, you have to weight all aspects of the information security triangle (Confidentiality, Integrity and Availability). During a scan, the availability of the test subject may be reduced, but at least cannot be guaranteed 100%; however you will get an accurate picture of all three aspects of the triangle.

The risk of a negative impact on the availability has to be put in context. If the system is connected to the internet it is very likely that it has been scanned previously without your knowledge or consent. Also, it is my experience that these scans do not often significantly disrupt an infrastructure. In the 6+ years that I have frequently used these tools, I have only really disrupted an infrastructure twice. In both cases there was no structural damage to any systems and the scan could be resumed later with a lowered intensity.

Vulnerability scanning is not the only activity that can cause disruptions to an infrastructure. Changes e.g. can also have a negative impact. By planning vulnerability scans in the same way as planned changes, they can often be fitted into the schedule.

2 More scanning, more work?

Testing an infrastructure for vulnerabilities should not be a one-off activity. Every single IT infrastructure I know changes. But, even if an infrastructure does not change, the threat landscape around it changes, e.g. new vulnerabilities are discovered daily. If a system is scanned today, and no vulnerabilities are found, the same system may turn out to be vulnerable tomorrow when we test it with an updated scanner.

Performing a vulnerability scan is easy; the vulnerability scanner software itself does most of the work. Unfortunately analyzing the findings of a scan is a lot of work. I have scanned an infrastructure with 130 IP addresses that offer no services to the internet. Yet the report generated by the vulnerability scanner consisted of 52 pages that contained over 400 findings. Even with sufficient experience with vulnerability scanning digesting such a report takes two hours or more and writing a formal report would take double that time. Clearly this does not scale well.

2.1 Seccubus: Scan more, work less

Seccubus is a tool that allows vulnerability scans to be executed at set times. But besides this Seccubus reduces the time needed to analyze subsequent scans of the same infrastructure by computing the delta between the results of the current and previous scan.

Let us say that we are scanning a new infrastructure for the first time, the scanner runs and sends its results back to Seccubus. Seccubus will produce the standard scanner reports and make them available for download in the Seccubus web interface.
Besides the standard reports, Seccubus will also parse the scan results and put each individual finding in the web interface and assign it the status ‘New’. It is now up to the assessor to assign a new status to these findings. ‘No Issue’ if the finding does not pose a security risk or ‘Open’ if the finding does.

After a while, hopefully after some of the findings have been addressed, another scan can be performed. Seccubus will again import the findings in the web interface, but this time the status is dependent on the previous scan. Seccubus will change the status of the finding to:

- New – If the finding was not present in the previous scan.
- Changed – If the finding was present in the previous scan, but has changed
- Gone – If the finding was present in a previous scan, but not in the current scan.

Instead of having to examine all findings again, the assessor now only has to deal with the findings with these three statuses.

2.2 What is the gain?

Automating vulnerability scanning with Seccubus has the following advantages:

- Scans can be scheduled and start without the need for a human to “push the button”
- Findings from different tools can be presented and analysed in the same interface
- The effectiveness of scanning is improved. Less effort is spent on scanning and more scans can be done with the same resources.
- The quality of the analysis improves, because less time is spent on mundane tasks
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